organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Lilianna Chęcińska,^a* Magdalena Małecka,^a Tomasz A. Olszak^a and Zbigniew H. Kudzin^b

^aDepartment of Crystallography, University of Łódź, Pomorska 149/153, PL-90236 Łódź, Poland, and ^bDepartment of Organic Chemistry, University of Łódź, Narutowicza 68, PL-90136 Łódź, Poland

Correspondence e-mail: lilach@krysia.uni.lodz.pl

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å R factor = 0.051 wR factor = 0.130 Data-to-parameter ratio = 15.0

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. *O,O*-Diphenyl 1-(3-phenylthioureido)ethanephosphonate

The crystal structure of the title compound, $C_{21}H_{21}N_2O_3PS$, is reported. In the structure, centrosymmetrically related molecules are linked by $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds and form dimers. The geometry around the P atom indicates a distorted tetrahedron.

Received 5 November 2001 Accepted 15 November 2001 Online 24 November 2001

Comment

O,O-Diphenyl *N*-phenylthioureidoalkanephosphonates, the only reported class of phosphonylated thioureas, exhibit close structural analogy to PTC-amino acids (phenylthiocarba-moylamino acids) and therefore are considered as compounds of potential biological activity. Moreover, this class of phosphonates is also synthetically attractive due to their broad applications in the synthesis of phosphonic analogs of natural amino acids (Kudzin & Stec, 1978; Kudzin, 1996; Kafarski & Zoń, 2000).

$$Me - C - H + Ph - NH - C - NH_2 + P(OPh)_3 \longrightarrow Ph - NH - C - NH - CH - P(OPh)_2$$

$$Me$$
(I)

The presence of two different NH units in the thioureido fragment and also the phosphonyl moiety, creates a possibility of a variety of hydrogen-bond interactions, indicated previously by the IR spectra of PTC-derivatives of a series of *S*-alkylphosphonocysteines (Kudzin, 1981).

For these reasons, the structural analysis of *O*,*O*-diphenyl *N*-phenylthioureidoalkanephosphonate, (I), presents an interesting goal for crystallographic investigations, in particular, directed to the assignment of types and locations of molecular hydrogen bonds.

The S atom does not act as an acceptor of hydrogen bonds as it does in other structures including the thiourea moiety (Ramnathan *et al.*, 1996; Steiner, 1998; Shanmuga Sundara Raj *et al.*, 1999; West *et al.*, 1999). In the present structure, atom O1 is the only acceptor and is involved in two N-H···O hydrogen bonds. Atom N1 acts as a donor to O1ⁱ [symmetry code: (i) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1] generating an $R_2^2(14)$ motif (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995). Moreover, the N2-H2···O1ⁱ interaction produces an $R_2^2(10)$ motif. The combination of two hydrogen bonds provides a finite pattern of type $R_2^1(6)$. Furthermore, this second level hydrogen-bonding motif seems to have an influence in shortening the H1···H2 distance [1.95 (4) Å] as both atoms are connected to the same acceptor-O atom. Finally, the molecules form dimers.

© 2001 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



Figure 1

The structure of the title compound with the atom-labeling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 40% probability level.

The bonds and angles around the P atom indicate a distortion of the tetrahedron caused by different substituents and bond types, *viz*. the double P1=O1 bond and single P1-C2 bond.

The benzene rings are planar within experimental error. The thioureide group is also flat, as expected. The S1–C1 bond length, 1.671 (2) Å, is close to the unweighted mean value of 1.681 Å for the C=S distance in thioureas (Allen *et al.*, 1987). The N–C*sp*² distances (N1–C1 and N2–C1) are in good agreement with the mean value of 1.346 Å in the above reference. The dihedral angle between the mean plane of the phenyl ring C11–C16 and the thiourea moiety is 43.7 (1)°. The relevant torsion angles describing the orientations are S1–C1–N1–C11 = -1.8 (4)° and C1–N1–C11–C12 = -44.5 (4)°.

Experimental

N-Phenylthioureidoalkanephosphonate was prepared by condensation of the appropriate aldehyde, *N*-phenylthiourea and triphenyl phosphite (Kudzin & Stec, 1978). The compound obtained was purified by crystallization from a chloroform/ethanol solvent system. The purity was checked by means of ³¹P NMR, ¹H NMR and TLC analysis.

 $D_m = 1.294 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

toluene Cu $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 25

reflections $\theta = 22.5 - 30.4^\circ$

 $\mu = 2.28 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) KPrism, colorless $0.5 \times 0.3 \times 0.3 \text{ mm}$

 $\begin{aligned} R_{\rm int} &= 0.014 \\ \theta_{\rm max} &= 72.6^{\circ} \\ h &= -12 \rightarrow 11 \end{aligned}$

 $k = 0 \rightarrow 12$

 $l = -15 \rightarrow 15$

3 standard reflections

every 150 reflections

intensity decay: <2%

 D_m measured by flotation in xylene, bromobenzene, heptane &

Crystal data

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-5*S* diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: analytical (de Meulenaer & Tompa, 1965) $T_{min} = 0.356, T_{max} = 0.619$ 4248 measured reflections 3996 independent reflections 2609 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$



Figure 2

A view of the dimeric molecules [symmetry code: (i) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1]. All H atoms, except H1 and H2 and their symmetry equivalents, have been omitted for clarity.

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0837P)^2]$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.051$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $wR(F^2) = 0.130$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ S = 1.04 $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.37 \text{ e Å}^{-3}$ 3995 reflections $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.51 \text{ e Å}^{-3}$ 267 parametersExtinction correction: SHELXLH atoms treated by a mixture of
independent and constrained
refinementExtinction coefficient: 0.0125 (10)

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

S1-C1	1.671 (2)	C2-P1	1.805 (3)
C1-N2	1.347 (3)	P1-O1	1.465 (2)
C1-N1	1.355 (3)	P1-O2	1.566 (2)
N1-C11	1.416 (3)	P1-O3	1.574 (2)
N2-C2	1.451 (3)	O2-C21	1.423 (3)
C2-C3	1.517 (4)	O3-C31	1.410 (3)
N2-C1-N1	112.3 (2)	C3-C2-P1	111.9 (2)
N2-C1-S1	123.0 (2)	O1-P1-O2	115.69 (10)
N1-C1-S1	124.7 (2)	O1-P1-O3	114.08 (11)
C1-N1-C11	128.9 (2)	O2-P1-O3	102.22 (10)
C1-N2-C2	124.8 (2)	O1-P1-C2	113.74 (12)
N2 - C2 - C3	111.6 (2)	O2-P1-C2	102.43 (11)
N2-C2-P1	106.6 (2)	O3-P1-C2	107.37 (11)
S1-C1-N1-C11	-1.8 (4)	C1-N1-C11-C12	-44.5 (4)

Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N1-H1\cdotsO1^{i}$	0.90 (3)	2.03 (3)	2.896 (3)	161 (3)
$N2-H2\cdotsO1^{i}$	0.85 (3)	2.08 (3)	2.897 (3)	160 (3)

Symmetry code: (i) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z.

All H atoms of the methyl and phenyl groups were geometrically placed. All methyl-H atoms were constrained to their parent atom as a rigid body (C–H = 0.96 Å) and the $U_{\rm iso}$ values were refined as equal to $1.5U_{\rm eq}$ of their C parent atom. All phenyl-H atoms were constrained to their parent atoms as a rigid body (C–H = 0.93 Å) and the $U_{\rm iso}$ values were refined as equal to $1.2U_{\rm eq}$ of their parent C

atoms. All other H atoms (H1, H2 and H201) were located in a difference map and refined isotropically.

Data collection: *MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1989*a*); cell refinement: *MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software*; data reduction: *TEXSAN* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1989*b*); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*86 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*93 (Sheldrick, 1993); molecular graphics: *ORTEX* (McArdle, 1995) and *PLUTON*92 (Spek, 1992); software used to prepare material for publication: *PARST*97 (Nardelli, 1996).

We thank Professor Dr Hab. M. Bukowska-Strzyżewska for helpful advice. Financial support from the University of Łódź (grant No. 505/667) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–83.
- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L., & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.

- Kafarski, P. & Zoń, J. (2000). Aminophosphonic and Aminophosphinic Acids. Chemistry and Biological Activity, Vol. 2, edited by H. Hudson and V. Kukhar, 33–67. New York: Wiley and Sons Ltd.
- Kudzin, Z. H. (1981). Synthesis, pp. 643-645.
- Kudzin, Z. H. (1996). Badania w dziedzinie kwasów 1-aminoalkanofosfonowych, pp. 1–110. Łódź: WUŁ.
- Kudzin, Z. H. & Stec, W. J. (1978). Synthesis, pp. 469-472.
- McArdle, P. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 65.
- Meulenaer, J. de & Tompa, H. (1965). Acta Cryst. 19, 1014-1018.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1989a). *MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software.* MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1989b). *TEXSAN*. Version 5.0. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Nardelli, M. (1996). J. Appl. Cryst. 29, 296-300.
- Ramnathan, A., Sivakumar, K., Subramanian, K., Meerarani, D., Ramadas, K. & Fun, H.-K. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 139–142.
- Shanmuga Sundara Raj, S., Puviarasan, K., Velmurugan, D., Jayanthi, G. & Fun, H.-K. (1999). Acta Cryst. C55, 1318–1320.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (1992). PLUTON92. University of Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Steiner, T. (1998). Acta Cryst. C54, 1121-1123.
- West, D. X., Hermetet, A. K., Ackerman, L. J., Valdés-Martínez, J. & Hernández-Ortega, S. (1999). Acta Cryst. C55, 811–813.